

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,417	09/20/2005	loan-Mircea Corbean	71967	3189
23872 7590 06/29/2007 MCGLEW & TUTTLE, PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 9227 SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			ROSS, DANA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3722	
•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	j	\mathcal{H}			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/550,417	CORBEAN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dana Ross	. 3722			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. beriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi statute, cause the application to become	AICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 September 2005.	·			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
closed in accordance with the practice un					
Disposition of Claims					
· _	ation .				
	 Glaim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ndrawn nom consideration.				
6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9-11 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 7 and 8 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 September 200		∩ chiected to by the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	· ·				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
,—					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		A CONTRACTOR			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this National Stage			
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	·	ot received			
See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies in	0.10001104.			
Attachmont/c)					
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	w Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	.8) Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/20/05.	5)	of Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 3722

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it states "-Fig. 2-". Applicant is required to delete the reference to the figure. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2, line 3 states "a tool-fitting aperture". Claim 1, last line, has previously provided for "a tool-fitting aperture". Claim 2 should be changed to read "the tool-fitting aperture".

Claim 2, it is not clear if the magazine housing has the aperture or the bottom limb has the aperture as claimed in claim 1.

Claim 4, line 2, it is not clear what "it" refers to. It appears that line 2 should read "where the machine tool is a double-spindle machine tool".

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-3, 5-6, 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US Pat. No. 5,453,068 (Rutschle et al.).

Rutschle teaches a machine tool with a working area with a work carrier (see reference numbers 15 and 16 of figure 1); a tool-holder spindle 23 which accommodates a tool 24 that extends in the z-axis direction (see figure 1) and is displaceable in the x-y plane (see rail 17 in figure 1 for the x-axis, and rails 19 for the y-axis); an angular tool magazine 26 (see figure 5) which comprises an endless chain 78 that is circulatory drivable and provided with tool-holding fixture (see col. 9, lines 8-24, and lines 30-45, for example) with two limbs, a horizontal top limb and a bottom limb that extends downwards (yet horizontally) from the horizontal top limb (see figure 5) and wherein the chain 78 extend substantially through the top and bottom limbs (see figures 5, 6 and 7) and wherein a tool-fitting aperture 86 (see figure 6, for example) is provided in the bottom limb; a housing 25 (see figure and col. 9, lines 8-14, for example); a movable cover 87 (see col. 5, lines 49-54 and col. 13, lines 16-21, for example); a pick-up location and tool change arrangement (see figure 8).

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rutschle.

Rutschle teaches all aspects of claim 1.

Rutschle teaches the use of one spindle.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the single spindle to include a second spindle since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in he art. In this instance, the well known use of multiple spindles would help increase productivity.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 7 and 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Examiner notes that the combination of limitations of Independent claims 1, 5, 6 and 7 is not found in the prior art, and no motivation would be found to modify the prior art as currently claimed to include the combination of limitations. Examiner further notes that the use of "two claws for holding a respective tool" is well known in the art. However the combination as discussed above is not. Furthermore, to modify Rutschle to include the "two claws for holding a

Art Unit: 3722

respective tool would destroy the workability of the Rutschle invention and would require hindsight.

Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dana Ross whose telephone number is 571-272-4480. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Monica Carter can be reached on 571-272-4475. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dana Ross Primary Examiner Art Unit 3722

dmr